

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/000,422	SCHKOLNIK, DANIEL G.
	<b>Examiner</b> DUC Q. DINH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2629

**All Participants:**

(1) DUC Q. DINH.

**Status of Application:** ATER FINAL AMENDMENT

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) DEREK MEEKER.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 May 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5,9,10,15,20 AND 24

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE AGREED TO CANCEL CLAIM 24 AND AMEND CLAIMS 5,9,10,15 AND 20 AS SUGGESTED BY EXAMINER.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)